

---

# THE CHARITY LAW & PRACTICE REVIEW

---

**C L & P R**  
Volume 2, 1993/94, Issue 1

## **EDITORIAL BOARD**

**Hubert Picarda QC, MA, BCL(Oxon)**  
(Managing Editor)  
**Roger Madsen, Solicitor**  
(Assistant Editor)  
**Debra Morris, Lecturer in Law, University of Liverpool**  
(Case Note Editor)

## **CONSULTING EDITORIAL BOARD**

**The Right Honourable Lord Justice Hoffmann**  
**The Honourable Mr Justice Knox**  
**The Honourable Mr Justice Mummery**

*For details of the  
Advisory Editorial Board please refer over*

All editorial correspondence should be addressed to  
Hubert Picarda QC, The Managing Editor  
10 Old Square, Lincoln's Inn, London WC2A 3SU

**The Charity Law & Practice Review**  
is published by  
Key Haven Publications PLC  
7 Crescent Stables  
139 Upper Richmond Road  
London SW15 2TN  
Telephone (081) 780 2522, Facsimile (081) 780 1693

---

## Disclaimer

---

# DISCLAIMER

The views contained herein are put forward for further consideration only and are not to be acted upon without independent and professional advice.

Neither the Publishers nor the Authors or Contributors can accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any person no matter howsoever caused or arising as a result of or in consequence of action taken or refrained from in reliance on the contents hereof.

© 1993 KEY HAVEN PUBLICATIONS PLC

### **Conditions of Sale**

All rights strictly reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Any person infringing the publisher's copyright will be liable to criminal and/or civil proceedings.

Printed in England by Anthony Halms & Partners, London.

## ADVISORY EDITORIAL BOARD

---

- Robert Venables QC**  
Chairman of Advisory Editorial Board
- Robert Venables**  
Charity Commissioner
- Christopher McCall QC**  
formerly Junior Counsel to the Attorney General in Charity Matters
- Professor Gareth Jones QC LLD**  
Trinity College, Cambridge
- Professor Christopher Nobes**  
Professor of Accountancy, University of Reading
- Lee Sheridan LLD**  
formerly Professor of University College, Cardiff
- Harry Kidd**  
Emeritus Fellow, St John's College, Oxford (European Developments)
- James Kessler**  
Barrister
- Andrew Phillips**  
Solicitor and Partner, Bates Wells & Braithwaite
- Adrian Longley**  
Solicitor and former legal adviser to NCVO
- Peter Mimpriss**  
Solicitor and Partner, Allen & Overy
- Brian McGeough**  
Solicitor and Partner, Denton Hall Burgin & Warren
- Michael Carpenter**  
Solicitor and Partner, Slaughter & May
- Peter Luxton**  
Lecturer in Law, University of Sheffield
- Jean Warburton**  
Senior Lecturer in Law, University of Liverpool
- Elizabeth Cairns**  
Solicitor
- Judith Hill**  
Solicitor and Partner, Farrer & Co
- Jennie Gubbins**  
Solicitor and Partner, Trowers & Hamlin  
(Charitable Housing Associations)
- Peter Smith**  
Lecturer in Law, University of Exeter  
(Universities & Ecclesiastical Charities)

## CONTENTS

	Page No
Editorial - from the Managing Editor	
<b>Charitable Trusts Validation Act 1954: A Case for Reform</b> Lee Sheridan	1
<b>SORP: The Accountants' Perspective</b> Chris Parkinson	11
<b>Charity Lotteries Past &amp; Present</b> Peter Luxton	17
<b>Starting an Arts Charity in Florida: A Case History</b> Helena Steiner-Hornsteyn	35
<b>Religious, Reformation, Remedial and Renaissance Philanthropy</b> E Blake Bromley	53
<b>Liabilities of Charity Trustees</b> Jean Dollimore	69
<b>The Charitable Company - A Further Insight</b> Malcolm Leatherdale	83
<b>Charities and Minibus Law</b> Alec Samuels	89
<b>Book Reviews</b> The Modern Law of Charities The New Law The Charities Act 1992	91
<b>Charities Act 1993: Tables of Destinations</b> Anne-Marie Piper	93

---

## EDITORIAL

### Charities Act 1993

The Charities Act 1993 received the Royal Assent on 25th May and came into operation on 1st August 1993. It consolidates the Charitable Trustees Incorporation Act 1872, the Charities Act 1960 and Part I of the Charities Act 1992. It is important to note that it also leaves certain provisions of the 1960 and 1992 Acts undisturbed.

The remnants of the Charities Act 1960 are mainly those provisions which declare that certain previous Acts cease to have effect or are repealed.

The remnants of the 1992 Act (which it is convenient to rehearse) are as follows:

- (1) Provisions dealing with divestment of charity property held by the Official Custodian for Charities (ss.29 and 30).
- (2) Removal of requirements under statutory provisions for consent to dealings with charity land.
- (3) Amendment of Redundant Churches and Other Religious Buildings Act 1969 (s.49).
- (4) Contribution towards maintenance of almshouses (s.50).
- (5) Part II (Professional Fund-Raising Provisions).
- (6) Part III (Public Charitable Collections).
- (7) Part IV (Offences, Service of Documents, Regulations and Orders and other General Provisions).

There is something rather untidy jurisprudentially about the name of the Charities Act 1992 and the provisions relating to Fund-Raising and Public Collections by charitable *and philanthropic* bodies continuing to sail under the somewhat misleading ensign of a Charities Act. As Lord Renton pointed out, the 1992 Act in its short title encompasses a misnomer: it should have been called the Charities Fund-Raising and Public Collections Act 1992. However that may be, there will no doubt need to be further consolidation in due course to take account of the Recreational Charities Act 1958 and any other later Act on charities.

### Further Reform

## From the Managing Editor

---

In this issue Professor Lee Sheridan draws attention to the inadequacy of our Charitable Trusts (Validation) Act 1954 which is merely retrospective in effect and really requires to be re-enacted in a prospective form to correspond with the statutes in Northern Ireland and almost everywhere else in the Commonwealth. It is to be hoped that our legislators will in due course introduce provisions designed to rescue for charity the money which any right-minded testator would wish to see devoted to charity rather than to unintended next of kin.

### **American Connection**

I am happy to announce that two American overseas correspondents have consented to join the Editorial team, namely Professor Harvey Dale who runs the Program of Philanthropy and Law at New York University and J Clifton Cox of the Bar of Florida. It will also be seen that there is an article of comparative law interest by Helena Steiner-Hornsteyn, one of South Florida's most dynamic charity organisers, showing how very similar the approach of the United States Internal Revenue Service is to that of our Inland Revenue at Bootle and the Charity Commission in relation to charities. I hope the *Review* will carry other articles of comparative interest both from the United States and elsewhere as, for example, from the Commonwealth.

Hubert Picarda QC  
13th October 1993